

COMMENTARY

10.1002/2015EF000321

Key Points:

- Water vapor is the most important greenhouse gas
- Currently atmospheric water vapor is not measured accurately enough
- A global, long-term balloon borne measurement program should be implemented

Corresponding author:

R. Müller, Institute of Energy and Climate Research (IEK-7), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, D-52425 Jülich, Germany.
(ro.mueller@fz-juelich.de)

Citation:

Müller, R., A. Kunz, D. F. Hurst, C. Rolf, M. Krämer, and M. Riese (2016), The need for accurate long-term measurements of water vapor in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere with global coverage, *Earth's Future*, 4, 25–32, doi:10.1002/2015EF000321.

Received 5 SEP 2015

Accepted 27 DEC 2015

Accepted article online 30 DEC 2015

Published online 8 FEB 2016

© 2015 The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

The need for accurate long-term measurements of water vapor in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere with global coverage

Rolf Müller¹, Anne Kunz², Dale F. Hurst³, Christian Rolf¹, Martina Krämer¹, and Martin Riese¹

¹Institute of Energy and Climate Research (IEK-7), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany, ²Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Research, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ³Global Monitoring Division, NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Abstract Water vapor is the most important greenhouse gas in the atmosphere although changes in carbon dioxide constitute the “control knob” for surface temperatures. While the latter fact is well recognized, resulting in extensive space-borne and ground-based measurement programs for carbon dioxide as detailed in the studies by Keeling et al. (1996), Kuze et al. (2009), and Liu et al. (2014), the need for an accurate characterization of the long-term changes in upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric (UTLS) water vapor has not yet resulted in sufficiently extensive long-term international measurement programs (although first steps have been taken). Here, we argue for the implementation of a long-term balloon-borne measurement program for UTLS water vapor covering the entire globe that will likely have to be sustained for hundreds of years.

1. Introduction

Water vapor is arguably the most important greenhouse gas in the Earth's atmosphere; it accounts for about half of the present day greenhouse effect and is the most important gaseous source of infrared opacity in the atmosphere [Held and Soden, 2000; Schmidt et al., 2010]. Nonetheless, changes in atmospheric CO₂ constitute the principal “control knob” governing the temperature of the Earth's atmosphere. In contrast to CO₂, water vapor in the atmosphere can condense and precipitate; therefore, water vapor concentrations in the atmosphere are determined by condensation—and thus by temperature—rather than by water vapor sources [Lacis et al., 2010]. Increasing atmospheric CO₂ also causes changes in the tropospheric Hadley circulation, with implications for convective ascent, cloud patterns, and thus the tropospheric humidity distribution, particularly in the tropics [Lau and Kim, 2015].

Changes in non-condensing greenhouse gases (like CO₂, N₂O, CH₄, chlorofluorocarbons, and ozone) cause a radiative forcing that changes the atmospheric temperature structure. This will alter water vapor and cloud abundances, producing an additional radiative forcing and changing the atmospheric temperature structure yet again. This forcing is referred to as the “water vapor feedback” mechanism [Dessler and Sherwood, 2009; Lacis et al., 2010]. Atmospheric water vapor effectively makes the climate more sensitive to forcing by non-condensable greenhouse gases.

The attenuation of outgoing long-wave radiation, i.e., the water vapor feedback, is most sensitive to water vapor changes in the upper troposphere and lowermost stratosphere, where the air is coldest and driest [Held and Soden, 2000; Dessler and Sherwood, 2009]. In climate models, enhanced warming is found in the tropical upper troposphere due to changes in the temperature lapse rate. This impacts both moisture changes and radiative effects in this region so that lapse rate changes and water vapor changes in the tropical upper troposphere need to be considered together. Moreover, water vapor changes in the lower stratosphere have been identified as an important driver of decadal global surface climate change [Forster and Shine, 2002; Solomon et al., 2010; Riese et al., 2012; Dessler et al., 2013]. Stratospheric water vapor will increase due to an anthropogenic increase in tropospheric methane concentrations [Rohs et al., 2006]; direct injection of water vapor into the stratosphere by large volcanic eruptions is also discussed as a process that might enhance stratospheric water vapor [Joshi and Jones, 2009].

2. Current Measurements of Atmospheric Water Vapor

In spite of its climatic importance, accurate measurements of water vapor in the upper troposphere and in the lower stratosphere are sparse, and trends and variability in this region are not well established [Hurst *et al.*, 2011; Kunz *et al.*, 2013; Hegglin *et al.*, 2014]. Uncertainties are particularly pronounced close to the tropopause, the interface between large water vapor concentrations in the upper troposphere and very low water vapor concentrations in the stratosphere. The tropopause fluctuates in altitude, making trend determinations at constant altitudes problematic. Furthermore, deep convective injection and isentropic transport from the tropics are processes that have the potential to moisten the lowermost stratosphere in mid-latitudes [Anderson *et al.*, 2012; Ploeger *et al.*, 2013; Vogel *et al.*, 2014; Spang *et al.*, 2015], leading to further variability of water vapor in this region. However, the region close to the tropopause is the region most relevant for radiative forcing of surface climate [Held and Soden, 2000; Solomon *et al.*, 2010; Riese *et al.*, 2012]. This fact represents a challenge for the vertical resolution of water vapor measurements in the vicinity of the tropopause. Studies of stratospheric water vapor variability and trends in mid-latitudes often avoid the region close to the tropopause [Rosenlof *et al.*, 2001; Scherer *et al.*, 2008; Hurst *et al.*, 2011] when calculating trends and variability in water vapor. Studies that focus on water vapor trends in the UTLS ($-2/+4$ km from the tropopause) [Oltmans and Hofmann, 1995; Oltmans *et al.*, 2000; Kunz *et al.*, 2013] show that these trends and the associated radiative forcing are highly uncertain and even of an *undetermined sign*.

Despite its importance, there are only limited strategies for the long-term monitoring of upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric water vapor. The international network of weather balloons has been in operation for many decades but is considered insufficient for detecting trends and variability in upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric water vapor [Elliott and Gaffen, 1991; Soden and Lanzante, 1996; Seidel *et al.*, 2009; Dee *et al.*, 2011].

Valuable information on water vapor in the lowermost stratosphere and upper troposphere is obtained from measurements on board passenger aircrafts in the frame of the In-service Aircraft for a Global Observing System (IAGOS) project. There are two sub-projects within IAGOS, namely IAGOS-CORE (since 1994) and IAGOS-CARIBIC (Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the Atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container, since 2008). IAGOS-CORE provides frequent measurements of reactive and greenhouse gases including upper tropospheric water vapor, while the less frequent IAGOS-CARIBIC covers a much larger suite of tracer and aerosol measurements but is deployed only once per month on four long-range flights [Petzold *et al.*, 2015]. IAGOS-CARIBIC provides water vapor measurements covering lower mixing ratios in particular as they prevail in the lowermost stratosphere [Zahn *et al.*, 2014; Dyroff *et al.*, 2015]. Good coverage, both in space and time, is achieved since these measurements are performed during scheduled flights (in particular for IAGOS-CORE), and these water vapor measurements will be continued with the same instrumentation for decades. However, these measurements are restricted in height (in particular, they do not cover the tropical tropopause region) because most measurement time is spent at the cruising altitude of a commercial aircraft, and the measurements do not achieve true global coverage. Nonetheless, they should be sustained and extended in coverage.

High-quality measurements of water vapor in the UTLS are obtained regularly a few long-term monitoring programs and in dedicated measurement campaigns. The climatologies deduced by combining the individual measurements are a valuable resource for investigations on trends and variability of UTLS water vapor [Rosenlof *et al.*, 2001; Krämer *et al.*, 2009; Tilmes *et al.*, 2010; Kunz *et al.*, 2014; Meyer *et al.*, 2015]. An example of such a water vapor climatology for the tropics, subtropics, and the polar regions is shown in Figure 1. However, the spatial coverage of such measurements at a given point in time is limited, and data from many years of measurements have to be combined for a climatology. In this way, seasonal and inter-annual variability cannot be represented in the dataset. Thus, again, climatologies based on collections of temporally and spatially sparse data cannot replace a strategy for long-term monitoring of UTLS water vapor.

Furthermore, since 1978 upper tropospheric water vapor concentrations have been derived from high-resolution infrared radiation sounder (HIRS) instruments aboard National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) operational satellites based on the $6.7\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ water vapor channel [Soden *et al.*, 2005; Shi and Bates, 2011; Chung *et al.*, 2014]. Unfortunately, these nadir-looking sensors were not designed for climate monitoring and only provide a poor vertical resolution. Long-term datasets for upper tropospheric humidity in mid-latitudes have been constructed through a combination of different types of HIRS




Figure 1. Potential temperature-based (10 K bins) frequency distribution of water vapor mixing ratios from 23 aircraft campaigns measured with the Fast In-situ Stratospheric Hygrometer (FISH) hygrometer [Meyer *et al.*, 2015] from 1997 to 2014 divided into three latitude regimes: tropical (30°S to 30°N), sub-tropical (60°S to 30°S and 30°N to 60°N), and polar regions (90°S to 60°S and 60°N to 90°N). The number of data points (measurements every second) is given at the top right of the respective panel.

instruments [Gierens *et al.*, 2014]. However, the HIRS instruments suffer from inter-satellite biases, and the continuity of the 6.7- μ m water vapor channel ends in 2005 because of the shift in the central wavelength to 6.5- μ m for HIRS/3. HIRS instruments are only sensitive to water vapor in a rather deep layer in the upper troposphere (roughly 200–500 hPa) [Soden *et al.*, 2005; Shi and Bates, 2011; Chung *et al.*, 2014]. Since October 2011, measurements of upper tropospheric humidity with a comparable vertical resolution are also available from the microwave radiometer SAPHIR on board the Megha-Tropiques satellite [Brogniez *et al.*, 2015].

Satellite measurements of lower stratospheric water vapor have been conducted for many decades by a variety of instruments, but many had short (in the order of years) lifetimes, often without much overlap between different instruments. Satellite instruments may also be susceptible to perturbations by volcanic aerosol and degradation in performance [Fujiwara *et al.*, 2010; Fueglistaler *et al.*, 2013]. Results from model simulations nudged to observed meteorology have been used to address such problems in an approach merging a number of different satellite datasets [Hegglin *et al.*, 2014], but only offsets were applied with no investigation of measurement drifts.

Observations at ± 2 km around the tropopause in mid-latitudes by the Boulder balloon soundings and the corresponding HALogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) satellite measurements (Figure 2) do not show the much-discussed rapid drop in stratospheric water vapor in the lower stratosphere at the beginning of 2001 and in 2013 [e.g., Hurst *et al.*, 2011; Kunz *et al.*, 2013]. On the other hand, the drops in stratospheric water vapor in 2001 and in 2013 are detectable in the tropics immediately above the tropical tropopause and are correlated with rapidly decreasing tropical temperatures. These are further detectable ≈ 1.5 years later at greater altitudes in the tropics [e.g., Randel *et al.*, 2006; Urban *et al.*, 2014]. These observations are important for deducing the radiative impact of water vapor changes close to the tropopause and show that simple extrapolations of water vapor trends detected at greater altitudes in the lower stratosphere to the tropopause or from the tropics to mid-latitudes are problematic. They also reveal the importance of stratospheric circulation changes and variability [Stiller *et al.*, 2012; Ploeger *et al.*, 2015] for the behavior of water vapor in the lower stratosphere [Rosenlof *et al.*, 1997; Randel *et al.*, 2006; Kunz *et al.*, 2013; Hegglin *et al.*, 2014; Tao *et al.*, 2015].




Figure 2. Stratospheric water vapor between 1981 and 2014 from Boulder sonde data (Hurst *et al.*, 2011; Kunz *et al.*, 2013). Top panel (a) shows data for 75–85 hPa (≈ 18 km); the panels below show subsets of the data selected according to the altitude level of the tropopause at the individual sounding. Second panel (b) shows data for the tropical domain (tropopause greater than 14 km); third panel (c) for a transitional domain (tropopause between 14 and 12 km); and bottom panel (d) data for the extratropical domain (tropopause below 12 km). The black line in the top panel shows water vapor monthly means; 2-year running means are shown in orange. Also shown are corresponding HALOE data for 1991–2005 (zonal average of the latitude band 35°N to 45°N); the white line shows the 2-year running mean; the range of two standard deviations around the mean is shown as gray shading [Kunz *et al.*, 2013]. Note that around the year 2000, no data are available for tropopause greater than 14 km (panel b). (Figure adapted from Kunz *et al.* [2013]; see reference for further information on the analysis).

3. A Future Measurement Program of Atmospheric Water Vapor

Here, we propose that a long-term sustainable strategy for accurate global measurements of water vapor in the UTLS down to molar mixing ratios of parts per million (ppm; 10^{-6}) should be developed. The backbone of this strategy should be a global network of stations for balloon-borne instruments that are well inter-calibrated. The chosen measurement technique will likely be frost point hygrometer sondes flown successfully but only infrequently, for many years, from a few stations [Oltmans and Hofmann, 1995; Fujiwara *et al.*, 2010; Hurst *et al.*, 2011, 2014; Davis *et al.*, 2015]. The balloon measurements will allow an accurate determination of the tropopause height and thus a discrimination of upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric water vapor. Accurate and spatially well-resolved water vapor measurements in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere would also be helpful for improving data assimilation in this region [Kunz *et al.*, 2014; Dyroff *et al.*, 2015]. It will be a challenge to implement such a network with reasonable spatial coverage of the entire globe that will allow polar, mid-latitude, and tropical air masses (see Figure 1) to be separated.

The Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) Reference Upper-Air Network (GRUAN) is an initiative [Seidel *et al.*, 2009; Immler *et al.*, 2010] that is making progress in establishing a global network of 30–40 measurement sites. Each site must meet stringent requirements regarding the accuracy and long-term stability of its measurements of essential climate variables, including UTLS water vapor. GRUAN sites are required to perform a water vapor sounding with a balloon-borne frost point hygrometer at least once per month. The progress of GRUAN in adding sites is slow because each site is responsible for procuring the funding needed to make such measurements. Even if GRUAN builds up to 30–40 sites in the near future, we believe there is a critical need for many more UTLS water vapor measurement sites around the globe. The self-funding approach of GRUAN sites also casts doubt upon the stability of the network over the long term.

Establishing such a measurement program will require a substantial financial commitment. For example, a payload consisting of a frost point hygrometer [Vömel *et al.*, 2007], an electrochemical concentration cell (ECC) ozonesonde, a compact optical backscatter aerosol detector (COBALD) sonde [Brabec *et al.*, 2012], a radiosonde, and meteorological standard equipment together with the balloon itself will cost about €7000 per launch. Assuming a launch schedule of two flights per month and a 50% chance of recovery of the payload, this results in costs for disposables of about €90 000 per year and station. The receiving equipment requires a further one-time investment of €10 000–30 000 per station, depending on the type of radiosonde flown. Of course, considerable additional costs will be incurred for infrastructure and personnel.

To make optimum use of these investments, the spatial distribution of the stations and the temporal resolution of the soundings required for the detection of trends and long-term variability should be studied in advance, e.g., through theoretical studies based on hypothetical water vapor time series. It has been demonstrated that the time required to detect trends in highly variable upper tropospheric water vapor is reduced more by increasing the measurement frequency than by improving the precision of measurements [Whiteman *et al.*, 2011]. As stratospheric water vapor is much less variable, the trend detection time would likely depend less on measurement frequency and more on the number and global distribution of measurement sites and on the measurement precision.

Some work in this direction has also been done in the field of ozone trends, addressing the question of the circumstances under which a leveling off or recovery of stratospheric ozone levels is detectable in a statistically significant manner [e.g., Reinsel *et al.*, 2002; Várai *et al.*, 2015]. However, the ozone recovery problem is a simpler problem as only one turning point is expected (decline of ozone to the turning point and recovery thereafter), while stratospheric water vapor levels will certainly show more variability, even on longer time scales of many years.

In an analysis of the Boulder balloon measurement time series at 40°N, Kunz *et al.* [2013] found that the measurements cover different water vapor reservoirs. Thus, depending on the day of launch, either tropical or mid-latitude air masses are measured at the Boulder station. Therefore, we suggest that for the soundings in the proposed water vapor network, a launching strategy should be developed that takes into account the local meteorology on the day of the measurement rather than following a simple (e.g., twice per month) schedule.

Important components of a global network of balloon-borne measurements of water vapor would be quality assurance and inter-calibration of the measurements at individual stations. Here, one could follow the example of the worldwide ozonesonde network, where comparable challenges exist. In the case of ozonesondes, these problems have been addressed by the standardization of procedures for preparing and data processing of the sonde data and by an environmental simulation facility for regular calibration of the ozonesondes used in the network [Smit *et al.*, 2007].

GRUAN provides an additional example of homogenized data processing and quality control by requiring all measurements from a specific instrument type to be processed by a central processing center. This ensures that the measurements from different sites will receive the same treatment while being processed into final data products.

It would be extremely valuable to augment the long-term balloon network with satellite sensors, providing high vertical resolution (better than ≈ 2 km) measurements of water vapor in the UTLS on a time scale of decades. Such a high vertical resolution will very likely only be achievable through limb sounding satellite instruments. Satellite measurements would have the advantage of a good horizontal spatial coverage that cannot be achieved by a balloon sonde network. However, so far, it has proven very difficult to maintain satellite monitoring programs providing measurements at a high vertical resolution over periods of many years to decades [Urban *et al.*, 2014]. Even if such a series of operational satellites existed, balloon-borne water vapor measurements would provide a standard for long-term stable calibration and a vertical resolution in the vicinity of the tropopause that cannot be achieved by satellite sensors.

First steps have been taken to establish long-term measurements of water vapor in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. In the IAGOS project, valuable water vapor measurements in the upper troposphere have been collected on board passenger aircrafts since 1994 [Smit *et al.*, 2014; Zahn *et al.*, 2014; Petzold *et al.*, 2015]. GRUAN [Seidel *et al.*, 2009; Immler *et al.*, 2010] aims at implementing a global network of about 30–40 measurement sites. Moreover, since 2005, in the Ticosonde program, balloon-borne measurements of tropical water vapor in the UTLS have been obtained in San Jose, Costa Rica (10°N) [Fujiwara *et al.*, 2010; Davis *et al.*, 2015]. Frost point hygrometer measurements have also been conducted since 2004 in Lauder, New Zealand (45°S) and since 2010 in Hilo, USA (20°N) [Hurst *et al.*, 2014; Davis *et al.*, 2015]. Still, neither the balloon-borne water vapor measurements in San Jose, Hilo and Lauder nor the global GRUAN initiative currently have a stable, long-term funding.

For a reliable assessment of the climatic effect of water vapor in the UTLS, greater effort is required. We suggest that a global, long-term balloon-borne measurement program for UTLS water vapor should be established. Stations should cover the entire globe with an optimized distribution of sites; the launching strategy should take into account the local meteorology; and the inter-calibration of the different stations should be ensured. This program will likely have to be sustained for hundreds of years.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Andreas Petzold, Herman Smit, Michiel van Weele, and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on the paper. We thank J. Carter-Sigglow for a grammatical and stylistic revision of the manuscript. This work was supported in part by the European Commission under grant number StratoClim-603557-FP7-ENV.2013.6.1-2. The data used in Figure 1 can be obtained by contacting the authors; data from the Boulder station (Figure 2) can be obtained from the following website: <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/dv/ftpdata.html>.

References

- Anderson, J., D. Wilmoth, J. Smith, and D. Sayres (2012), UV dosage levels in summer: Increased risk of ozone loss from convectively injected water vapor, *Science*, 337(6096), 835–839, doi:10.1126/science.1222978.
- Brabec, M., F. G. Wienhold, B. P. Luo, H. Vömel, F. Immler, P. Steiner, E. Hausammann, U. Weers, and T. Peter (2012), Particle backscatter and relative humidity measured across cirrus clouds and comparison with microphysical cirrus modelling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12(19), 9135–9148, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9135-2012.
- Brogniez, H., G. Clain, and R. Roca (2015), Validation of upper-tropospheric humidity from SAPHIR on board Megha-Tropiques using tropical soundings, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, 54, 896–908, doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-14-0096.1.
- Chung, E.-S., B. Soden, B. J. Sohn, and L. Shi (2014), Upper-tropospheric moistening in response to anthropogenic warming, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 111(32), 11,636–11,641.
- Davis, S. M., K. H. Rosenlof, and D. F. Hurst (2015), Stratospheric water vapor, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96(7), S46–S48.
- Dee, D. P., et al. (2011), The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 137, 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828.
- Dessler, A. E., and S. C. Sherwood (2009), A matter of humidity, *Science*, 323(5917), 1020–1021, doi:10.1126/science.1171264.
- Dessler, A. E., M. R. Schoeberl, T. Wang, S. M. Davis, and K. H. Rosenlof (2013), Stratospheric water vapor feedback, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 110(45), 18,087–18,091, doi:10.1073/pnas.1310344110.
- Dyroff, C., A. Zahn, E. Christner, R. Forbes, A. M. Tompkins, and P. F. J. van Velthoven (2015), Comparison of ECMWF analysis and forecast humidity data with CARIBIC upper troposphere and lower stratosphere observations, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 141, 833–844, doi:10.1002/qj.2400.
- Elliott, W., and D. Gaffen (1991), On the utility of radiosonde humidity archives for climate studies, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 72(10), 1507–1520, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1991)072<1507:OTUORH>2.0.CO;2.
- Forster, P., and K. P. Shine (2002), Assessing the climate impact of trends in stratospheric water vapor, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(6), 1086, doi:10.1029/2001GL013909.

- Fueglistaler, S., et al. (2013), The relation between atmospheric humidity and temperature trends for stratospheric water, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **118**(2), 1052–1074, doi:10.1002/JGRD.50157.
- Fujiwara, M., et al. (2010), Seasonal to decadal variations of water vapor in the tropical lower stratosphere observed with balloon-borne cryogenic frost point hygrometers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D18304, doi:10.1029/2010JD014179.
- Gierens, K., K. Eleftheratos, and L. Shi (2014), Technical note: 30 years of HIRS data of upper tropospheric humidity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **14**(14), 7533–7541, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7533-2014.
- Hegglin, M. I., et al. (2014), Vertical structure of stratospheric water vapour trends derived from merged satellite data, *Nat. Geosci.*, **7**, 768–776, doi:10.1038/ngeo2236.
- Held, I. M., and B. J. Soden (2000), Water vapor feedback and global warming, *Annu. Rev. Energy Environ.*, **25**, 441–475, doi:10.1146/annurev.energy.25.1.441.
- Hurst, D. F., S. J. Oltmans, H. Vömel, K. H. Rosenlof, S. M. Davis, E. A. Ray, E. G. Hall, and A. F. Jordan (2011), Stratospheric water vapor trends over Boulder, Colorado: Analysis of the 30 year Boulder record, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, D02306, doi:10.1029/2010JD015065.
- Hurst, D. F., A. Lambert, W. G. Read, S. M. Davis, K. H. Rosenlof, E. G. Hall, A. F. Jordan, and S. J. Oltmans (2014), Validation of Aura Microwave Limb Sounder stratospheric water vapor measurements by the NOAA frost point hygrometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **119**(3), 1612–1625, doi:10.1002/2013JD020757.
- Immler, F. J., J. Dykema, T. Gardiner, D. N. Whiteman, P. W. Thorne, and H. Vömel (2010), Reference quality upper-air measurements: Guidance for developing GRUAN data products, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, **3**(5), 1217–1231, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1217-2010.
- Joshi, M. M., and G. S. Jones (2009), The climatic effects of the direct injection of water vapour into the stratosphere by large volcanic eruptions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **9**(16), 6109–6118, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6109-2009.
- Keeling, C., J. Chin, and T. Whorf (1996), Increased activity of northern vegetation inferred from atmospheric CO₂ measurements, *Nature*, **382**, 146–149.
- Krämer, M., et al. (2009), Ice supersaturations and cirrus cloud crystal numbers, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **9**(11), 3505–3522.
- Kunz, A., R. Müller, V. Homonnai, I. János, D. Hurst, A. Rap, P. Forster, F. Rohrer, N. Spelten, and M. Riese. (2013), Extending water vapor trend observations over Boulder into the tropopause region: trend uncertainties and resulting radiative forcing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **118**, 11,269–11,284, doi:10.1002/JGRD.50831.
- Kunz, A., N. Spelten, P. Konopka, R. Müller, R. M. Forbes, and H. Wernli (2014), Comparison of fast in situ stratospheric hygrometer (FISH) measurements of water vapor in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) with ECMWF (re)analysis data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **14**(19), 10,803–10,822, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10803-2014.
- Kuze, A., H. Suto, M. Nakajima, and T. Hamazaki (2009), Thermal and near infrared sensor for carbon observation fourier-transform spectrometer on the greenhouse gases observing satellite for greenhouse gases monitoring, *Appl. Opt.*, **48**(35), 6716–6733.
- Lacis, A., G. Schmidt, D. Rind, and R. Ruedy (2010), Atmospheric CO₂: Principal control knob governing Earth's temperature, *Science*, **330**, 356–359, doi:10.1126/science.1190653.
- Lau, W. K., and K. M. Kim (2015), Robust Hadley circulation changes and increasing global dryness due to CO₂ warming from CMIP5 model projections, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **112**(12), 3630–3635, doi:10.1073/pnas.1418682112.
- Liu, Y., Z. Cai, D. Yang, Y. Zheng, M. Duan, and D. Lü (2014), Effects of spectral sampling rate and range of CO₂ absorption bands on XCO₂ retrieval from TanSat hyperspectral spectrometer, *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, **59**(14), 1485–1491, doi:10.1007/s11434-014-0215-8.
- Meyer, J., et al. (2015), Two decades of water vapor measurements with the FISH fluorescence hygrometer: A review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **15**(14), 8521–8538, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8521-2015.
- Oltmans, S. J., and D. J. Hofmann (1995), Increase in lower-stratospheric water vapour at a mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere site from 1981 to 1994, *Nature*, **374**, 146–149.
- Oltmans, S. J., H. Vömel, D. J. Hofmann, K. H. Rosenlof, and D. Kley (2000), The increase in stratospheric water vapor from balloonborne, frostpoint hygrometer measurements at Washington, D.C., and Boulder, Colorado, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**(21), 3453–3456, doi:10.1029/2000GL012133.
- Petzold, A., et al. (2015), Global-scale atmosphere monitoring by in-service aircraft—current achievements and future prospects of the European Research Infrastructure IAGOS, *Tellus B*, **67**, 28452, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v67.28452.
- Ploeger, F., G. Günther, P. Konopka, S. Fueglistaler, R. Müller, C. Hoppe, A. Kunz, R. Spang, J.-U. Grooß, and M. Riese. (2013), Horizontal water vapor transport in the lower stratosphere from subtropics to high latitudes during boreal summer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **118**, 8111–8127, doi:10.1002/JGRD.50636.
- Ploeger, F., M. Abalos, T. Birner, P. Konopka, B. Legras, R. Müller, and M. Riese (2015), Quantifying the effects of mixing and residual circulation on trends of stratospheric mean age of air, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**(6), 2047–2054, doi:10.1002/2014GL062927.
- Randel, W. J., F. Wu, H. Vömel, G. E. Nedoluha, and P. Forster (2006), Decreases in stratospheric water vapor after 2001: Links to changes in the tropical tropopause and the Brewer-Dobson circulation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, D12312, doi:10.1029/2005JD006744.
- Reinsel, G. C., E. C. Weatherhead, G. C. Tiao, A. J. Miller, R. M. Nagatani, D. J. Wuebbles, and L. E. Flynn (2002), On detection of turnaround and recovery in trend for ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107**(D10), 4078, doi:10.1029/2001JD000500.
- Riese, M., F. Ploeger, A. Rap, B. Vogel, P. Konopka, M. Dameris, and P. Forster (2012), Impact of uncertainties in atmospheric mixing on simulated UTLS composition and related radiative effects, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D16305, doi:10.1029/2012JD017751.
- Rohs, S., C. Schiller, M. Riese, A. Engel, U. Schmidt, T. Wetter, I. Levin, T. Nakazawa, and S. Aoki (2006), Long-term changes of methane and hydrogen in the stratosphere in the period 1978–2003 and their impact on the abundance of stratospheric water vapor, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, D14315, doi:10.1029/2005JD006877.
- Rosenlof, K. H., A. F. Tuck, K. K. Kelly, J. M. Russell III, and M. P. McCormick (1997), Hemispheric asymmetries in the water vapor and inferences about transport in the lower stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 13,213–13,234, doi:10.1029/97JD00873.
- Rosenlof, K. H., et al. (2001), Stratospheric water vapor increases over the past half-century, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**(7), 1195–1198, doi:10.1029/2000GL012502.
- Scherer, M., H. Vömel, S. Fueglistaler, S. J. Oltmans, and J. Staehelin (2008), Trends and variability of midlatitude stratospheric water vapour deduced from the re-evaluated Boulder balloon series and HALOE, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **8**(5), 1391–1402, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1391-2008.
- Schmidt, G., R. Ruedy, R. Miller, and A. Lacis (2010), The attribution of the present-day total greenhouse effect, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D20106, doi:10.1029/2010JD014287.
- Seidel, D. J., et al. (2009), Reference upper-air observations for climate: rationale, progress, and plans, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **90**, 361–369, doi:10.1175/2008BAMS2540.1.
- Shi, L., and J. J. Bates (2011), Three decades of intersatellite-calibrated High-Resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder upper tropospheric water vapor, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, D04108, doi:10.1029/2010JD014847.

- Smit, H. G. J., et al. (2007), Assessment of the performance of ECC-ozonesondes under quasi-flight conditions in the environmental simulation chamber: Insights from the Juelich Ozone Sonde Intercomparison Experiment (JOSIE), *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D19306, doi:10.1029/2006JD007308.
- Smit, H. G. J., S. Rohs, P. Neis, D. Boulanger, M. Krämer, A. Wahner, and A. Petzold (2014), Technical note: Reanalysis of upper troposphere humidity data from the MOZAIC programme for the period 1994 to 2009, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *14*(23), 13,241–13,255, doi:10.5194/acp-14-13241-2014.
- Soden, B. J., and J. R. Lanzante (1996), An assessment of satellite and radiosonde climatologies of upper-tropospheric water vapor, *J. Clim.*, *9*(6), 1235–1250, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<1235:AAOSAR>2.0.CO;2.
- Soden, B., D. Jackson, V. Ramaswamy, M. Schwarzkopf, and X. Huang (2005), The radiative signature of upper tropospheric moistening, *Science*, *310*(5749), 841–844, doi:10.1126/science.1115602.
- Solomon, S., K. Rosenlof, R. Portmann, J. Daniel, S. Davis, T. Sanford, and G.-K. Plattner (2010), Contributions of stratospheric water vapor to decadal changes in the rate of global warming, *Science*, *327*, 1219–1223, doi:10.1126/science.1182488.
- Spang, R., G. Günther, M. Riese, L. Hoffmann, R. Müller, and S. Griessbach (2015), Satellite observations of cirrus clouds in the northern hemisphere lowermost stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *15*(2), 927–950, doi:10.5194/acp-15-927-2015.
- Stiller, G. P., et al. (2012), Observed temporal evolution of global mean age of stratospheric air for the 2002 to 2010 period, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *12*(7), 3311–3331, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3311-2012.
- Tao, M., P. Konopka, F. Ploeger, M. Riese, R. Müller, and C. M. Volk (2015), Impact of stratospheric major warmings and the quasi-biennial oscillation on variability of stratospheric water vapor, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *42*, 4599–4607, doi:10.1002/2015GL064443.
- Tilmes, S., et al. (2010), An aircraft-based upper troposphere lower stratosphere O₃, CO, and H₂O climatology for the Northern Hemisphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*(D14), D14303, doi:10.1029/2009JD012731.
- Urban, J., S. Lossow, G. Stiller, and W. Read (2014), Another drop in water vapor, *Eos Trans. AGU*, *95*(27), 245–252, doi:10.1002/2014EO270001.
- Várai, A., V. Homonnai, I. M. Jánosi, and R. Müller (2015), Early signatures of ozone trend reversal over the Antarctic, *Earth's Future*, *3*, 95–109, doi:10.1002/2014EF000270.
- Vogel, B., G. Günther, R. Müller, J.-U. Grooß, P. Hoor, M. Krämer, S. Müller, A. Zahn, and M. Riese (2014), Fast transport from Southeast Asia boundary layer sources to northern Europe: rapid uplift in typhoons and eastward eddy shedding of the Asian monsoon anticyclone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *14*, 12,745–12,762, doi:10.5194/acp-14-12745-2014.
- Vömel, H., D. E. David, and K. Smith (2007), Accuracy of tropospheric and stratospheric water vapor measurements by the cryogenic frost point hygrometer: Instrumental details and observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D08305, doi:10.1029/2006JD007224.
- Whiteman, D. N., K. C. Vermeesch, L. D. Oman, and E. C. Weatherhead (2011), The relative importance of random error and observation frequency in detecting trends in upper tropospheric water vapor, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *116*(D21), D21118, doi:10.1029/2011JD016610.
- Zahn, A., E. Christner, P. F. J. van Velthoven, A. Rauthe-Schöch, and C. A. M. Brenninkmeijer (2014), Processes controlling water vapor in the upper troposphere/lowermost stratosphere: An analysis of 8 years of monthly measurements by the IAGOS-CARIBIC observatory, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *119*(19), 11,505–11,525, doi:10.1002/2014JD021687.